Rethinking Embedded Development:

Zephyr Through the Eyes of Model Driven Engineering

Team inovex

Karlsruhe · Köln · München · Hamburg Berlin · Stuttgart · Pforzheim · Erlangen



Dr. Tobias Kästner





Tobias Kaestner



@tobiaskaestner



@tobiaskaestner

Solution Architect Medical IoT

#FOSS4MEDICAL

- PhD in Physics (long ago)
- SW/System Architect since 15 years
 - mainly Medical Devices
- Trainer & Technical Consultant
 - SW-Architecture, Zephyr, Yocto
- In Love w/ Zephyr since 2016
 - realised several prototype projects for life-science R&D
 - Maintainer of TiacSys-Bridle Project
 - Participant Zephyr Safety-WG & Zephyr TSC
- Inovex Zephyr Project Silver Member since Nov 2024



Agenda for today

- A very short 101 on MDSD
- The many DSLs of Zephyr
- The power of models



A very short 101 on MDSD



What is a model - and if, how many?

Models are sense-making devices to

- encode information about the world
- and reason about its properties
- **communicate** our understanding &
- make predictions







The many forms of models

Models are

- unavoidable
- abstractions
- domain-specific
- not guaranteed to agree with each other



Models in Embedded

Embedded Development comprises of

- System Development Domain
- Hardware Development Domain
- Software Development Domain

Key Challenges of Embedded Development

- how to make sure models do align
- propagate changes consistently
- deal with **implicit** models





Model Driven Software Development

"MDSD therefore aims to find **domain-specific abstractions** and make them accessible through formal modeling. This procedure creates a great potential for **automation** of software production, which in turn leads to **increased productivity**. Moreover, both the **quality and maintainability** of software systems increase.[...] The adjective 'driven' in 'Model-Driven Software Development' [...] emphasizes that this paradigm assigns models a **central** [...] **role**: they are at least **as important as source code**.

To successfully apply the 'domain-specific model' concept, three requirements must be met:

- Domain-specific languages are required to allow the actual formulating of models.
- Languages that can express the necessary model-to-code transformations are needed.
- **Compilers, generators or transformers** are required that can run the transformations to generate code executable on available platforms."

MDSD by Stahl and Voelker, 2006



INOVEX

https://voelter.de/data/books/mdsd-en.pdf

The many DSLs of Zephyr



Models in Zephyr

3 domain-specific models at play

Feature Model: select desired functionality

Hardware Model: to describe hardware properties

Build System: to describe build process





Models in Zephyr

3 domain-specific models at play

west build -b nucleo_g474re samples/hello_world







Models in Zephyr

3 domain-specific models at play

west build -b nucleo_g474re samples/hello_world







models are coupled and interact with each other !!!

Introducing ACME-NG





A couple of years ago ...

Goals of ACME : develop new type of high-performance test to diagnose Covid-19

Iterative system design - basic system functions known but specific details dependent on reagent chemistry developed simultaneously

Time to Market - extremely time-sensitive due to ongoing pandemic

Supply-Chain-Risks - Availability of HW components worsened dramatically during project time



Modeling Software Features w/ Kconfig





Modeling Software Features w/ Kconfig



Modeling Software Features w/ Kconfig

Kconfig is a domain-specific language to describe software feature models

- features are typed & can relate to each other (select, depend, imply)
- models can be composed from smaller models ([or] source)
- models are transformed at build time into C language constructs



inovex

Modeling Hardware Features w/ Devicetree

Devicetree is a domain-specific language to describe **hardware properties** which are **software relevant**

If used correctly, HW setups can be mapped faithfully to devicetree models including hardware interface aka interconnects





Modeling Hardware Features w/ Devicetree



The power of models



When supply chains fall apart ...



When ACME needed parts to make hardware the most, the parts had disappeared ...

... and all we could do, was to by existing devkit boards

devicetree models allowed us to **compensate for all HW changes** without touching a single line of source code



When supply chains fall apart ...

west build -b core -shield peripherals_v1 acme_app
west build -b core -shield peripherals v2 acme app

west build -b nucleo_f767zi -shield x_nucleo_nexus -shield peripherals_v1 acme_app
west build -b nucleo_h743zi -shield x_nucleo_nexus -shield peripherals_v1 acme_app

Peripherals v

Peripherals v2

Appropriate: Devicetree, Kconfig and CMake established and mature
Textual DSL: easy to diff and version control, models as code
Automated: model transformations happen as part of software build process

Transparent: generated expressions consumable by standard C compiler

Integrable: Models can interact with each other to further increase usefulness

Extensible: Model languages can be extended with new constructs

Open: Underlying technologies open-source, no limitations to use or future development

No model is perfect - never

Existing models as expressed by Kconfig, Devicetree and Zephyr CMake functions already extremely powerful ...

... however, not without limitations:

- missing abstractions: connectors (interface & multi-instance)
- missing concepts: multi-board setups (only via --shield ... --shield ...)
- missing composability: CS-lines of SPI devices

Conclusion

- Zephyr showcases MDSD techniques, not through intent but by convergence
- Productivity gains partly explainable through this modeling approach
- Still plenty of space for improvements:
 - What other transformations could be looked at?
 - What other domains could be modeled?

Thank You

Zephyr Hands-On Trainings starting 2025: Jan 22/23, Apr 02/03, Jul 02/03

Find out more <u>https://www.inovex.de/de/training/zephyr-basic-training/</u>

27

Dr. Tobias Kästner Solution Architect Medical IoT

tobias.kaestner@inovex.de

+49 152 3314 8940

Allee am Röthelheimpark 11, 91052 Erlangen

in

Tobias Kaestner

@tobiaskaestner

@tobiaskaestner

